#### SAFETY UNIT

## Safety Database Activity Report

- Significant Accidents 2009
- Benchmarking and Appendix
- (Public version)

Paris, November 2010



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	<u>3</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCIDENTS IN 2009	4
SECTION 1	<u>9</u>
DISCUSSION POINTS: TRESPASSING, LC ACCIDENTS AND ACCIDENTS AT STATION GENERAL REPORT ON SIGNIFICANT ACCIDENTS 2009	9 10
SECTION 2	<u>20</u>
BENCHMARKING INDICATORS	20
APPENDIX	<u>29</u>
ACCIDENT DEFINITIONS CURRENTLY IN FORCE IN EUROPE	29
TABLES INDEX	<u>31</u>

### Foreword

The European railway accident statistics from 2009 are encouraging. Overall, the number of accidents is down significantly for the core group of 20 European UIC members that has been reporting to the UIC Safety Database since 2004.

The rate of accidents per train kilometre is lower as well. While the economic climate in 2009 made for a year in which the number of train kilometres dropped precipitously, the number of accidents went down even faster. Long recognised as one of the safest and most reliable modes of transport, rail in Europe continues to strengthen its reputation as consistently safe. For the period that the UIC Safety Database has been collecting information, the number of railway accidents in Europe has shown a steady downward trend. From 2006 to 2009, the number of accidents in 20 countries declined by 8.5 percent.

Most illuminating for railway companies is the fact that internally caused accidents, for which railway undertakings and infrastructure managers have the most responsibility, have declined the fastest. The percentage of accidents resulting from negligence or error on the part of the railways is vanishingly small, and getting smaller. The number of collisions and derailments has declined substantially. Most accidents in 2009 were the unfortunate result of members of the public acting in an unsafe manner around railway tracks, stations and level crossings.

The biggest beneficiary of these trends is the passenger. Those who depend on the railway to take them to work, school, cultural events and holiday destinations are safer than ever before. In 2009, no passengers were killed in collisions, derailments or level crossing accidents. In total there were 30 passenger fatalities, making up only two percent of all railway accident fatalities. For passengers in 2009, rail was an undeniably safe form of travel. There was one passenger killed for 122 million train-kilometres, a remarkably low rate of risk that sets a high standard for passenger safety in all transport modes.

Regrettably, there were nonetheless several accidents in 2009 with a heavy human toll. One catastrophic derailment resulted in 30 fatalities and 13 seriously injured. The five most serious accidents, two derailments and three collisions with an obstacle at level crossings, unfortunately each had more than 10 victims. Concerted efforts to improve all aspects of railway safety are as important as ever.

The most crucial task for the continued reduction of accidents is to address the chronic problems of trespassing on rail lines and public behaviour at level crossings. This will be a challenge that the railways must face with the full cooperation of roadway authorities, local governments and other public interest groups, to reduce the risk to the public, and to ensure that the railways will continue to run safely and smoothly in the years to come.

-- Jean-Michel RICHARD Chairman of the Safety Platform

### Executive Summary of significant accidents in 2009

In 2009 the UIC Safety Database collected significant accidents and critical events from the main railway companies in 21 European countries including Norway and Switzerland, plus Eurotunnel.

It is important to note that in previous years data was only available from 20 member countries. This year there is an additional country reporting data. The total number of accidents recorded in the database in 2009 is therefore higher than in 2008. Excluding the data from the 21<sup>st</sup> country, for comparison purposes, there are **fewer significant accidents than in 2008**, and this is part of a long-term trend of improvement.

The total number of victims (defined as fatalities and seriously injured persons), and the number of victims per accident are also lower than in the last two years, indicating a gradual trend toward improved safety. The most pronounced drop was in the rate of fatalities for passengers, with **half the number of passenger fatalities per accident** than in the two previous years. There were 171 passengers injured in accidents in 2009, down 17 percent from 2008, and only 30 passengers killed, less than half the number from the previous year. **Risk to passengers on the railway has decreased markedly.** Remarkably, there were no passengers killed in collisions, derailments, level crossing accidents, electrocutions or fires. All passenger fatalities were the result of individuals hit by trains or falling from trains.

It is important to note, however, that there were **significantly fewer kilometres of train movement in 2009** than in previous years. The comparison group of 20 countries recorded 3.9 billion kilometres of train movement, down 3.3 percent from the previous year. This is certainly a contributing reason for the lower number of accidents, but not the entire story, as the rate of significant accidents per kilometre of train movement is still lower than the past three years.

There were 188 "serious accidents", comfortably lower than previous years. Serious accidents are the most severe significant accidents, defined as collisions or derailments with at least one fatality, or five serious injuries, or damage in excess of two million Euros.

The most serious accident in 2009 was a freight derailment caused by rolling stock failures which resulted in 43 victims. The second, third and fourth most serious accidents were all collisions at level crossings, caused by human error.

Years	Significant	Serious	Number of	Fatalities / 100 accidents	significant	All victims / 100 significant	Significant accidents / Million of train	Fatalities / Million of train
	decidents	decidents	Passengers	Staff	Other	accidents	Km movement	Km movement
2009	2129 (2298)	188 (203)	1.4	1.6	58.3	103.7	0.54	0.33
2008	2263	200	3.0	1.7	51.0	104.5	0.56	0.31
2007	2272	227	2.8	1.4	55.0	109.3	0.57	0.34
2006	2327	205	1.8	1.5	50.6	101.7	0.59	0.32

(Numbers in pink indicate data from 21 countries instead of 20)

As in past years, the accident data is dominated by individual accidents as opposed to collective accidents, and by external causes as opposed to internal causes. Nearly two-thirds of accidents reported in the database are individuals hit by a train, and of these, the overwhelming majority of individuals were trespassers or level crossing users not complying with safety regulations. In total, level crossing accidents involving pedestrians and road vehicles, combined with individuals hit by trains at other locations, comprise 80% of all accidents. Derailments, collisions, fires and all other types of accidents make up only 20%. Similarly, 81% of accidents had "external causes", primarily "third parties" such as trespassers and level crossing users, while only 18% had "internal causes" intrinsic to the railway system. The rate of internally caused accidents declined significantly from 2008, when it comprised 21 percent of accidents. This is a positive sign that the railways are improving safety in the areas over which they have the most control.

Figure 1	Breakdown and rate of types of definitions.	significant accidents in 2009 acco	ording to different
Accidents	Types of accidents as defined in UIC – SDB	Additional information from UIC -SDB	Types of accidents as defined in Safety Directive
	3,8% Derailments of trains	3,8% Derailments of trains	3,8% Derailments of trains
	<b>1,5</b> % Train collision with another train	1,5% Train collision with another train	Collisions of trains, including
accidents		3,9% Train collision with an obstacle not at level crossing	5,4% collisions with obstacles within the clearance gauge
23,92%	<b>18,7</b> % Train collision with an obstacle	<b>14,8</b> % Train collision with an obstacle at level crossing	Level-crossing accidents, including accidents involving
		<b>6,9</b> % Individual hit by a train at level crossing	<b>21,7</b> % pedestrians at level-crossings,
Individual accidents 72,34%	<b>65,1</b> % Individual hit by a train	<b>58,2</b> % Individual hit by a train not at level crossing	Accidents to persons caused by <b>65,5%</b> rolling stock in motion, with the exception of suicides.
	7,2% Individual falling from a train	<b>7,2</b> % Individual falling from a train	
Othersternes	1,3% Fire in rolling stock	1,3% Fire in rolling stock	1,3% Fire in rolling stock
of accidents	2,3% Electrocution by overhead line or third rail	2,3% Electrocution by overhead line or third rail	2,4% Other types of accidents
3,74%	0,1% Accident involving dangerous goods	0,1% Accident involving dangerous goods	
100%	100%	100%	100%

Figure 2	UIC - SBD: First level analysis from UIC Safety Database - 2009 data Causes of accidents									
Simplest type of causes definition	Basic causes definition from UIC-SDB	More detailed information from UIC-SDB seco causes	ond level	Number of significant accidents						
		Trespass (intrusion)	46,02%	1058						
EXTERNAL CAUSES 81,08%	THIRD PARTIES 80,2%	Not specified	15,62%	359						
		Non-compliance with national laws & regulations	14,53%	334						
		Other or vandalism	3,48%	80						
		Objects on the gauge	0,48%	11						
		Parallel or crossing infrastructure	0,04%	1						
		Environment	0,57%	13						
		Veather Net enertied	0,35%	8						
	0.9%	Rolling stock	2,44%	56						
	RAILWAY SUB-	Infrastructure (track & structures)	1,17%	27						
	SYSTEMS	Energy system	0,17%	4						
	4,0%	Control-command signalling	0,17%	4						
INTERNAL CAUSES		Passengers and freight company customers	7,31%	168						
17.79%		Not specified	2,00%	46						
	HUMAN FACTORS	Traindriver and train crew	1,44%	33						
	13,7%	Control-command, energy, traffic operating and switching staff	1,30%	29						
		Track and track contractors staff	0,91%	21						
		Other users	0,52%	12						
		Other human factor in RUs	0,26%	6						
1,13%	CAUSES NOT IDE	ENTIFIED	1%	26						
100%	100%		100%	2298						

#### Change from previous year

The disparity between the percentage of individual and collective accidents has gotten wider, as has the disparity between internally and externally caused accidents.

The number of derailments has declined significantly, as has the number of level crossing accidents.

The number of collisions between trains has increased very slightly.

The number of individuals hit by train has increased modestly, as has the number of electrocutions and fires.

Figure 3	Trend of acc for 20 railwa	idents and ra v companies	ntes since 20	01, given as	cumulative	re data from 11 railways and 2006-2009 va							
		11 railway c	ompanies				20 railway i	companies					
Years:	Average 2001-2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2006	2007	2008	2009				
Number of serious injury accidents	861	871	831	760	799	2093	2130	2061	1958				
Serious injury accidents per million km of train movements	0,30	0,29	0,27	0,25	0,27	0,53	0,53	0,51	0,50				
Number of fatalities	500	524	528	490	511	1254	1347	1256	1304				
Fatalities per million km of train movements	0,17	0,17	0,17	0,16	0,17	0,32	0,34	0,31	0,33				
Number of significant accidents	970	1080	948	934	926	2327	2272	2263	2129				
Significant accidents per million km of train movements	0,34	0,36	0,31	0,30	0,31	0,59	0,57	0,56	0,54				
Number of victims	1112	985	936	852	863	2367	2483	2356	2208				
Victims per million km of train movements	0,39	0,33	0,30	0,28	0,29	0,60	0,62	0,58	0,56				
Number of million km of train movements:	2874,273	3021,404	3094,03	3094,03	3013,78	3953,57	3997,36	4048,34	3912,74				

Fig	ure 4	Number of a	ccidents and	victim	s in 2009						
		Data from 21	railway con	npanies	s from UIC	Safet	y Databa	se			
					k	Gilled		Seriously	( injurie	ed	Victims
			Number of ac	cidents	Passenger	Staff	Other	Passengers	Staff	Other	All
At station	Collisions with Collisions bety LC accidents Derailments Hit by a train Falling from a Other cases	n an obstacle ween trains train		26 22 75 46 482 132 57	0 0 0 11 12 0	1 0 0 8 4 0	1 0 65 30 241 1 15	1 3 0 24 80 1	5 5 1 14 29 2	7 0 39 13 189 8 25	15 8 104 44 487 134 43
		тоти	L at station:	840	23	13	353	109	56	281	835
In open line	Collisions with Collisions bety LC accidents Derailments Hit by a train Falling from a Other cases	i an obstacle ween trains train		58 11 428 35 844 34 27	0 0 0 1 6 0	2 1 3 1 8 6 1	8 0 314 0 642 2 7	5 4 21 15 3 12 0	3 25 2 7 6 5	10 0 200 0 202 2 3	28 8 563 18 863 34 16
	TOTAL in open line:			1437	7	22	973	60	51	417	1530
	In other locations:			21	0	0	8	2	4	4	18
	TOTAL				30	35	1334	171	111	702	2383

Note: Figures 5, 6 and 18-24 are included only in the full version of the report.

## **SECTION 1**

#### DISCUSSION POINTS: TRESPASSING, LC ACCIDENTS AND ACCIDENTS AT STATION

Trespassing continues to be the single highest cause of railway accidents. It was the cause of 46 percent of accidents in 2009. An additional 18 percent of accidents were the result of non-compliance with laws or regulations, vandalism, theft, or other forms of third party intrusion on railway property (see Figure 2). Third parties were responsible for 80 percent of railway accidents, and represented 85 percent of accident victims. These numbers have been rising every year.

The number of level crossing accidents has, on the other hand, been falling for the past few years. The core group of 20 reporting countries has seen a decline of 26.5 percent in level crossing accidents between 2006 and 2009. Remarkably, there were no passenger fatalities in 2009 as a result of level crossing accidents. The rate of third party fatalities per level crossing accident has increased, however. This may be due to a reduction in the number of relatively minor level crossing accidents, with the more serious multiple-victim accidents therefore having a larger influence on the fatality rate.

More than other forms of transport, the railways have a porous infrastructure, with which the public is constantly coming in contact. Whether as trespassers or level crossing users, members of the public external to the rail system are the most critical target audience for informational campaigns to reduce the number of railway accidents.

Years	Level Crossings Significant	Rate of total	Number of le	Fatalities / 100 evel crossing ac	) significant cidents	LC victims / 100 significant	LC Significant accidents / Million of train	LC Fatalities / Million of train
	accidents	accidents	Passengers	engers Staff Other		LC accidents	Km movement	Km movement
2009	469 (503)	21.7	0.0	0.6	75	134.1	0.12	0.09
2008	548	24.2	0.5	0.4	60	125.2	0.14	0.08
2007	621	27.3	0.3	0.3	67	141.7	0.15	0.10
2006	638	27.4	0.2	0.5	52	121.3	0.16	0.08

#### (Numbers in pink indicate data from 21 countries instead of 20)

Accidents at stations make up only 37 percent of all accidents. Yet station locations represented 77 percent of passenger fatalities and 64 percent of passenger injuries. Overall there has been a trend for several years of stations representing a larger and larger share of passenger victims. Unfortunately, station platforms and access points remain the most dangerous railway locations for passengers.

#### **GENERAL REPORT ON SIGNIFICANT ACCIDENTS 2009**

The total number of significant accidents registered in 2009 for 21 European UIC member railway companies is 2298.



#### Summary results

Although the total number of significant accidents was slightly higher than in 2008, the results for 2009 are for 21 countries while 2008 included only 20 countries. The data for 2009 includes Hungary in addition to the 20 countries from the previous year. If the data for Hungary is excluded, the total number of significant accidents is lower than in 2008.

## Figure 8 UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31 Fatalities and serious injuries

		Fatalitie	es	Serio	S	
Type of accidents	Р	S	0	Р	S	0
- Train collision with another train	0	1	0	7	10	0
- Train collision with an obstacle (including at LC)	0	6	265	27	34	219
- Individual hit by a train (including at LC)	12	16	1014	29	22	431
- Individual falling from a train	18	10	3	92	35	10
- Fire in rolling stock	0	0	1	0	1	0
- Electrocution by overhead line or third rail	0	1	21	1	6	29
- Derailment	0	1	30	15	3	13
- Accident involving dangerous goods	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	30	35	1334	171	111	702

(1) P = passengers; S = staff; O = others





The most frequent type of accident was that to persons due to rolling stocks in motion. Excluding level crossings, there were 1339 accidents involving persons hit by a train, causing a total of 1363 victims. This amounts to more than 57% of the total number of victims in all railway accidents (up from 56% in 2008 and 54% in 2007). This type of accident was already the most frequent and caused the most victims in previous years.

In a total of 844 cases of accidents to persons hit by a train in open line (people struck by a train) there were 863 victims (651 persons were killed and 212 were seriously injured – see Figure 4).

As was the case in previous years, most passenger fatalities or serious injuries occurred in station areas, as a result of passengers falling from trains or being hit by trains (see also Figure 13).

There were 503 level crossing accidents. This figure, representing 21.7% of all accidents, has declined from 24.2% in 2008 and 27.3% in 2007.

The most significant changes from 2008 are a diminution in the number of collisions and level crossing accidents. A far lower percentage of victims were passengers.

Figure 11 UIC - SBD F Fatalities a	Report on signi nd serious inju	ficant ac ries acco	cidents ording	s from 20 to EURO	009-01-01 to STAT defini	2009- tions.	12-31
		Serio	usly injur	red			
		Р	S	0	Р	S	0
- Collisions		0	4	10	13	18	18
- Level Crossings		0	3	378	21	27	238
- Derailments		0	1	30	15	3	13
- Persons & RS in motion		30	26	894	121	56	404
- Dangerous goods Total		0	0	0	0	0	0
- Fire		0	0	1	0	1	0
- Others	-	0	1	21	1	6	29
	Total:	30	35	1334	171	111	702

(1) P = passengers; S = staff; O = others



There has been a continued decline in the number of accidents at switches and crossings, as well as at level crossings. In 2009 there were also fewer accidents at stations than in 2008. This indicates a reduction of the particular risks to safety posed by these environments. The number of accidents at open line locations has increased to more than half of total accidents.



#### Summary results

The vast majority of passenger deaths and injuries were caused by passengers falling from trains, followed by passengers hit by trains, and most of these accidents occurred at stations. The overall number of passenger victims is far lower than in previous years. There was a significant reduction in passenger victims from collisions in particular.



The number of staff who were victims of accidents increased for the second consecutive year. In 2009 there were 146 staff victims compared with 131 in 2008 and 109 in 2007; the number of staff victims per hundred million train kilometres increased from 2.7 in 2007, to 3.2 in 2008, and to 3.6 in 2009. There were 1.5 staff members killed per 100 significant accidents, down from 1.7 in 2008.

Most staff victims were staff members falling from trains, being hit by trains, and level crossing accidents. The number of staff victims from level crossing accidents surged in 2009, surpassing the number hit by trains, and this despite a decline in the number of level crossing accidents. One level crossing accident alone caused 18 staff victims. Staff victims of level crossing accidents were more common in open line locations, while stations were the site of more staff victims hit by trains and falling from trains.

Figure 1	5	UIC – SBD: First level analysis from UIC Safety Database – 2009 data Number of victims per type, cause and location										
Accident				Loca	ation, [Eve	ents] and victims		Victin	ns			
[Events] and v	ictims	Causes and victims	Open Line	Station	Others	Details	(1)	Killed	Serious Injured			
Individual hit by a train [1497]	1524	Third parties - 1406 Human factors - 101 Not identified - 16 All others - 1	[963] 984	[521] 527	[13] 13	Level crossings - 161 Switches & Crossings - 82 Bridges & Viaducts - 8 Tunnels - 8 All others - 1265	P S O	12 16 1014	29 22 431			
Train collision with an obstacle [429]	551	Third parties - 533 Weather & Environment - 1 Human factors - 9 Not identified - 4 All others - 4	[364] 470	[62] 79	[3] 2	Level crossings - 506 Switches & Crossings -1 Bridges & Viaducts - 1 Tunnels - 2 Other type of location - 41	P S O	0 6 265	27 34 219			
Individual falling from a train [166]	168	Human factors - 123 Third parties - 41 Not identified - 2 Rolling stock – 1 Weather & Environment - 1	[34] 34	[132] 134	[0] <mark>0</mark>	Switches & Crossings - 4 Other type of location - 164	P S O	18 10 3	92 35 10			
Train collision with another train [34]	18	Human factors - 11 Rolling stock - 0 Third parties - 3 Infrastructure - 4	[11] <mark>8</mark>	[22] <mark>8</mark>	[1] 2	Switches & Crossings – 7 Other type of location - 11	P S O	0 1 0	7 10 0			
Derailment [86]	62	Human factors - 3 Third parties - 15 Rolling stock - 44	[37] <mark>18</mark>	[46] 44	[3] <mark>0</mark>	Switches & Crossings – 44 Other type of location - 18	P S O	0 1 30	15 3 13			
Electrocution [54]	58	Third parties – 49 Human factors – 9 Energy system – 0	[14] 15	[39] 42	[1] 1	Level crossings - 1 Bridges & Viaducts - 2 Other type of location - 55	P S O	0 1 21	1 6 29			
Fires [30]	2	Human factors - 0 Rolling stock – 1 Third parties - 1	[14] 1	[16] 1	[0] 0	Other type of location - 2	P S O	0 0 1	0 1 0			
Involving dangerous goods [2]	0	Rolling stock – [1] 0 Third parties - [1] 0	[0] <mark>0</mark>	[2] 0	[0] <mark>0</mark>	Other type of location - [2] 0		0	0			
TOTAL [2298]	2383	Third parties – 2048 Human factors - 256 Not identified – 22 Weather & Environment - 2 *Railway Subsystems - 55	[1437] 1530	[840] 835	[21] 18	Level crossings -668 Switches & Crossings - 138 Bridges & Viaducts - 11 Tunnels - 10 All others - 1556	P S O	30 35 1334	171 111 702			
								1399	984			

(1) P=passengers; S=staff; O=others

(\*) Causes for 55 victims related to "Railway Subsystems" are attributed as follow: Infrastructure = 5; Energy system = 1; Control-Command & Signalling = 1; Operations & Traffic Management = 1; Rolling stock = 47.

Third parties were the cause of 80 percent of accidents (see Figure 2) and made up 86 percent of victims. These percentages continue to increase, indicating that the interaction between the railway system and the external environment creates by far the majority of accidents and victims, while internally caused accidents are becoming an even smaller part of the story. As might be expected, the railways have had more success decreasing the number of accidents and victims that have internal causes than those caused by others.

Human factors were the cause of 11 percent of accident victims, while railway subsystems accounted for only 2 percent; both proportions that have fallen.

About a third of all accidents and victims were at stations. In the specific case of derailments, the majority of accidents and victims occurred at switches and crossings in stations.



More than three quarters of significant accidents involved passenger trains; 16 percent involved freight. Regional passenger trains largely outnumbered long distance passenger trains. The proportion of accidents involving passenger trains was higher this year, while there was a decline in the percentage of accidents involving locomotives running light, shunting operations, and work trains. The percentage of freight train accidents was unchanged.

Sixty-five percent of passenger train victims were killed or injured by rolling stock in motion, and 31 percent from level crossing accidents. Freight accidents break down to 57 percent of victims caused by rolling stock in motion and 21 percent by level crossing accidents. Derailments accounted for 12 percent of the victims in freight accidents, while in passenger accidents they accounted for less than one percent.



The annual variation in the number of accidents shows small differences from month to month. The peak seen in previous years in the number of accidents between June and September has disappeared for the last two years. There were slightly more accidents in March and April, and slightly fewer in November. Historically this does not represent any particular trend.

As in previous years, the most common times for accidents to occur are in the afternoon and evening, with a peak between 17:00 and 21:00, roughly corresponding to the evening peak travel time. A similar spike is found in the morning between 8:00 and 9:00. An increase in accidents between 11:00 and noon, seen in previous years, did not occur in 2009. The period with the lowest accident rate remains between midnight and 05:00.

# **SECTION 2**

#### BENCHMARKING INDICATORS

The benchmarking proposed here is based on the indicators of significant accidents victims recorded in the SDB in 2009. Each infrastructure manager in the sample can evaluate their performances in relation to the others. These indicators and the numbering system used to classify them correspond to the Common Safety Indicators defined by the European Commission.

Figures 25 to 33 are graphic representations of several indicators (number of accidents of the same type divided by million km of train movements).

#### All accidents

Figure 25	Nu ma	imber oveme	of ents	all a S.	acc	ideı	nts	in 2	200	9 r	elat	ed t	o th	ne to	otal	nur	nbe	r of	mil	llior	n kn	n of	train	
Railway	Indica	tor																						
	4,15	55	In	dica	ator	S																		
	2,58	32 19	4.00																					
	1,70	)7 )4	4,00																					
	1,08	38	3,50	+						_														
	1,06	59 19	3,00	_																				
	0,42	25																						
	0,35	25	2,50																					
	0,31	0	2,00							_														
	0,27	/1	1,50	_																				
	0,26	25 25																						
	0,22	22	1,00																					
	0,15	58	0,50								T				_									_
Tatal of 01	0,00	)0	0,00																					
notal of 21 members	0,57	73																						

Note: In Figures 25 through 33 the names of the members have been omitted. They are included in the full version of the report.

#### Collisions

Figure 20	6	Indicat with ol numbe	or osta r of	1, 1, acle milli	1 - with on I	Nur nin t km c	nber he c of tra	of lea	ran rov	ollisi ce ( vem	ons gaug ents	of gei s.	trai in 2	ins, 2009	, in 9 re	clu elat	din ed	gc to	olli the	sio to	ns tal
Railway	Indicator for Collisions	Indi	cator	S																	
	0,4007	0,40 -			_				_												
	0,2246																				
	0,1330	0,35 -							-												
	0,0953																				
	0,0480	0,30 -																			
	0,0300	0.25 -																			
	0,0309	0,23																			
	0,0232	0.20 -																			
	0,0213																				
	0.0155	0,15 -			_				_	_	_										
	0.0150																				
	0,0148	0,10 -					_														
	0,0101																				
	0,0062	0,05 -					_			_											
	0,0000													_							
	0,0000	0,00 -																			
	0,0000																				
	0,0000																				
	0,0000																				
Total of 21 members	0,030																				

#### Summary results

Collisions are very rare. The average frequency is 6.4 collisions for every 100 million Km of train movements. The above value was 4.1 in 2008

Two more useful indicators are obtained by splitting collisions into "train collision with another train" and "train collision with an obstacle". The set of indicator values for collisions between trains is the most accurate (see Figure 27).

#### Breakdown of collisions



#### Summary results

The indicators for collisions with obstacles shown in this graphic do not include collisions at level crossings, where the majority of such collisions occur. Nonetheless, even excluding level crossings, collisions with obstacles are far more common than collisions between trains.

#### Derailments

Figure 28	Indicator 1, number of n	1,1,2 - Number of derailments of train in 2009 related to the million km of train movements.	total
Railway	Indicator		
	0,048		
	0,044		
	0,044		
	0,039		
	0,034		
	0,028		
	0,026		
	0,025		
	0,019		
	0,015		
	0,012		
	0,011		
	0,010	0,02 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
	0,007		
	0,006		
	0,000		
	0,000	0,01 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
	0,000		
	0,000		
	0,000		
	0,000		- 1
Total of 21 members	0,022		

#### Summary results

Train derailments are very rare in Europe. The derailment rate in 2009 was 2.2 derailments per 100 million Km of train movements.

No passengers were killed in derailments. Unfortunately, one tragic freight derailment resulted in 43 victims who were people external to the railway system.

#### Level Crossing Accidents



#### Summary results

The rate of level crossing accidents varies considerably from one country to another. Certain networks are far above the average, while others are far below. Overall, however, the number of level crossing accidents per train kilometre has decreased from 2008.

Breakdown of level crossing accidents

Figure 30	Ind and rela	Indicators 1,1,3a: Number of level crossing accidents - individual hit by a train and 1,1,3b: Number of level crossing accidents - collisions with an obstacle relating to the total number of million km of train movements in 2009.					
Level Crossing Accidents		nts					
Railway	Individual hit by a train	Collision with an obstacle					
	0,053	0,026					
	0,000	0,074					
	0,025	0,235					
	0,000	0,000					
	0,135	0,505					
	0,028	0,036					
	0,000	0,000					
	0,054	0,130					
	0,044	0,000					
	0,111	0,232					
	0,017	0,015					
	0,095	0,064					
	0,000	0,164					
	0,146	0,326					
	0,055	0,055					
	0,199	0,174					
	0,025	0,070					
	0,015	0,003					
	0,006	0,018					
	0,000	0,515					
	0,200	0,178					
Total of 21 members	0,039	0,085					
			□ Individual hit by a train at level crossings □ Collisions at level crossings				

#### Summary results

Level crossings are the most common interface between railways and members of the public. They have long been recognised as locations vulnerable to accidents, and efforts are underway in nearly all countries to reduce the number of level crossings for this reason. Accidents at level crossings are generally pedestrians or motor vehicles being hit by a train. As these two types of accidents are fundamentally different, it is useful to separate them. Because vehicles travel at higher speeds than pedestrians, it is easier for motorists to commit errors at level crossings, such as failing to see the warning lights or failing to stop in time. It is also more difficult to see and hear trains when inside a vehicle. Not surprisingly, collisions with objects (generally motor vehicles) are more common than individuals hit by trains at level crossings. Fortunately, both indicators are lower than in the past few years.

#### Rolling stock in motion



#### Summary results

Accidents to persons caused by rolling stock in motion are comprised of individuals hit by trains and individuals falling from trains. Level crossing accidents are not included here and can be found separately in Figures 29 and 30. The rate of these accidents varies considerably from country to country. The majority of rail network database members had rates below the average, while a few others had very high rates which skewed the overall average value.

#### Fatalities



### Serious Injuries

Figure 33		Indicator - Significant accidents - Number of serious injury in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements.					
Railway	Indicator						
	2,280						
	0,969	0,90					
	0,859						
	0,808	0,80					
	0,645						
	0,569	0,70					
	0,448						
	0,247	0,60					
	0,141						
	0,118	0,50					
	0,117						
	0,117	0,40					
	0,081						
	0,066						
	0,055	0,20					
	0,000						
	0,000	0,10 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +					
Total of 21 members	0,255						

# Appendix

ACCIDENT DEFINITIONS CURRENTLY IN FORCE IN EUROPE

Please note that this appendix, following the request of the Safety Performance Group, has been repeated from the 2009 report. It gives the key accident definitions currently in force in Europe.

At present there are at least four definitions of "railway accidents" which have legal force in Europe:

#### 2 definitions from Directive 2004/49/EC of 29 April 2004 (Railway Safety Directive):

"Accident" means an unwanted or unintended sudden event or a specific chain of such events which have harmful consequences; accidents are divided into the following categories: collisions, derailments, level-crossing accidents, accidents to persons caused by rolling stock in motion, fires and others;

"Serious accident" means any train collision or derailment of trains, resulting in the death of at least one person or serious injuries to five or more persons or extensive damage to rolling stock, the infrastructure or the environment, and any other similar accident with an obvious impact on railway safety regulation or the management of safety; "extensive damage" means damage that can immediately be assessed by the investigating body to cost at least EUR 2 million in total.

#### 2 definitions from Commission Regulation (EC) N° 1192/2003:

"Significant accident" means any accident involving at least one rail vehicle in motion, resulting in at least one killed or seriously injured person, or in significant damage to stock, track, other installations or environment, or extensive disruptions to traffic. Accidents in workshops, warehouses and depots are excluded. Notes from the European Office of Statistics (EUROSTAT) specify the following factors: significant damage over €150K and extensive disruptions to traffic with tracks blocked for more than 6 hours.

"Serious injury accident" means any accident involving at least one rail vehicle in motion, resulting in at least one killed or seriously injured person. Accidents in workshops, warehouses and depots are excluded. (Where "person killed" means any person killed immediately or dying within 30 days as a result of an accident, excluding suicides; and "person seriously injured" means any person injured who was hospitalised for more than 24 hours as a result of an accident, excluding suicides).

Fig 34 comprises a diagram showing the field of application of and intersection between the four accident definitions.

The UIC Safety Database collates information on railway accidents, critical events, suicides and attempted suicides. UIC SDB accepts declarations based on all the above accident definitions. However, declaration of "Significant Accidents" in accordance with the definition given by the Commission Regulation (EC) N° 1192/2003 and the notes from European Office of Statistics is mandatory.

Moreover, SDB offers specific reports and analysis for the community or for a single railway based on filtering the data collection according the definitions in force. So, for its own information, an SDB member can declare accidents to the database other than significant accidents without prejudice to its relative position in the international benchmarking where only significant accidents are automatically taken into account for declarations in accordance with Commission Regulation.

The UIC International Railway Statistic – Table A91 collates the total of Significant Accidents in 5 categories and the number of passenger, staff and third parties victims as a result of the accidents.



Finally, SDB must contain at least all the significant accidents and all the dangerous goods accidents declared (one by one or automatically transferred) by the SDB Correspondents plus the number of critical events, suicides and attempted suicides in a defined period.

Table A91 of the UIC International Railway Statistics must contain, for each UIC member, the total number of accidents by type (5 types) and the number of passenger fatalities and injuries for each type of accident, calculated as a total of all significant accidents experienced by each UIC member.

Every year at the end of September, the values necessary to compile Table A91 are extracted by the SDB and transferred to the UIC Committee for International Statistics. Those responsible for statistics within UIC railway member companies can confirm or correct the totals that will be published thereafter in the official statistics Table A91: "Railway Accidents".

## **Tables Index**

Figure 1: Breakdown and rate of types of significant accidents in 2009 according to different definitions. 5
Figure 2: UIC – SBD: First level analysis from UIC Safety Database – 2009 data. Causes of accidents. 6
Figure 3: Trend of accidents and rates since 2001 given as cumulative data from 11 railways and 2006 - 2009 values for 20 railway companies. Source UIC Safety Database.
Figure 4: Number of accidents and victims in 2009. Data from 21 railway companies from UIC Safety Database.
Figure 7: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Breakdown of accidents by type for 20 European railways.
Figure 8: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Fatalities and serious injuries
Figure 9: UIC – SBD Public safety 11
Figure 10: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Breakdown of victims by type of accidents for 20 European railways.
Figure 11: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Fatalities and serious injuries according to EUROSTAT definitions.
Figure 12: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Breakdown of accidents for all participant railways by type of location 13
Figure 13: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Total number of passengers who were victims of accidents by type of location.
Figure 14: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Total number of staff who were victims of accidents by type of location.
Figure 15: UIC – SBD: First level analysis from UIC Safety Database – 2009 data. Number of victims per type, cause and location.
Figure 16: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents from 2009-01-01 to 2009-12-31. Breakdown of victims by type of traffic involved 18
Figure 17: UIC - SBD Report on significant accidents. Monthly and daily accident distributions for the years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 19
Figure 25: Indicator - Significant accidents - Number of all accidents in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements.
Figure 26: Indicator 1, 1, 1 - Significant accidents - Number of collisions of trains, including collisions with obstacle within the clearance gauge in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements.

Figure 27: Indicators 1,1,1a: Number of collisions between trains and 1,1,1b: Number of trains collisions with an obstacle within the clearance gauge in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements.

Figure 28: Indicator 1,1,2 - Significant accidents - Number of derailments in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements. 23

Figure 29: Indicator 1, 1, 3 - Significant accidents - Number of level crossing accidents, including accidents involving pedestrians at level crossing in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements.

Figure 30: Indicators 1,1,3a: Number of level crossing accidents - individuals hit by a train and 1,1,3b: Number of level crossing accidents - collisions with an obstacle relating to the total number of million km of train movements in 2009. 25

Figure 31: Indicator 1, 1, 4 - Significant accidents - Number of accidents to persons caused by rolling stock in motion, with exception of suicides in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements. 26

Figure 32: Indicator - Significant accidents - Number of fatalities in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements. 27

Figure 33: Indicator - Significant accidents - Number of serious injury in 2009 related to the total number of million km of train movements. 28

Country	Country code	Railway Company	Railway Company name
-	-	Eurotunnel	Eurotunnel
Austria	AT	ÖBB	Österreichische Bundesbahnen
Belgium	BE	Infrabel	Infrabel
Bulgaria	BU	NRIC	National Railways Infrastructure Company
Czech Republic	CZ	CD; SZDC	Ceské Dráhy; Správa železniční dopravní cesty
Denmark	DK	DSB	Danske Statsbaner
Finland	FI	RHK	Ratahallintokeskus
France	FR	RFF	Réseau Ferré de France
		SNCF	Société Nationale des Chemins de fer Français
Germany	DE	DB	Deutsche Bahn
Hungary	HU	MAV	Magyar Allamvasutak Rt.
Ireland	IE	CIE	Coras Iompair Eireann
Italy	IT	RFI	Rete Ferroviaria Italiana
Luxembourg	LU	CFL	Société Nationale des Chemins de Fer Luxembourge
Netherlands	NL	ProRail	ProRail
Norway	NO	JBV	Jernbaneverket
Poland	PL	PKP PLK	PKP Polskie Linie Kolejowe
Portugal	PT	REFER	Rede Ferroviária Nacional
Romania	RO	CFR	Compania Nationala de Cai Ferate CFR SA
Slovak Republic	SK	ZSR	Železnice Slovenskej Republiky
Slovenia	SI	SZ	Slovenske Zeleznice
Spain	ES	ADIF	Administrador de Infraestructuras Ferroviarias
Sweden	SE	Trafikverket	Trafikverket
Switzerland	СН	SBB-CFF-FFS	Chemin de Fer Suisse - Schweizerische Bundesbahnen
United Kingdom	UK	Network Rail	Network Rail Limited

List of the UIC European Railway Members participating in the Safety Database

16, rue Jean Rey 75015 Paris Tel + 33(0)1 44 49 20 17